

The background of the cover is a close-up photograph of a green leaf with a fuzzy, brown and white caterpillar crawling on it. The caterpillar has a segmented body with white hair-like protrusions and a brownish-red dorsal line. The leaf is in sharp focus, while the background is blurred.

# Journal of Entomology and Nematology

Volume 7 Number 6, December, 2015

ISSN 2006-9855



*Academic  
Journals*

## ABOUT JEN

The **Journal of Entomology and Nematology (JEN)** (ISSN: 2006-9855) is published monthly (one volume per year) by AcademicJournals.

**Journal of Entomology and Nematology (JEN)** is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as applications of entomology in solving crimes, taxonomy and control of insects and arachnids, changes in the spectrum of mosquito-borne diseases etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JEN are peer-reviewed.

### Contact Us

Editorial Office: [jen@academicjournals.org](mailto:jen@academicjournals.org)

Help Desk: [helpdesk@academicjournals.org](mailto:helpdesk@academicjournals.org)

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JEN>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>.

## Editors

**Dr. Mukesh K. Dhillon**

*ICRISAT  
GT-Biotechnology, ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324,  
Andhra Pradesh,  
India*

**Dr. Lotfalizadeh Hosseinali**

*Department of Insect Taxonomy  
Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection  
Tehran, P. O. B. 19395-1454,  
Iran*

**Prof. Liande Wang**

*Faculty of Plant Protection,  
Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University  
Fuzhou, 350002,  
P.R. China*

**Dr. Raul Neghina**

*Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy  
Timisoara,  
Romania*

**Prof. Fukai Bao**

*Kunming Medical University  
191 Western Renmin Road, Kunming, Yunnan,  
PR of China*

**Dr. Anil Kumar Dubey**

*Department of Entomology,  
National Taiwan University, Sec. 4, Lane 119, Taipei,  
Taiwan 107*

**Dr. Mona Ahmed Hussein**

*National Research Centre, Centre of Excellence for  
Advanced Sciences, El-Behooth Street, Dokki,  
Cairo, Egypt*

## Associate Editors

**Dr. Sam Manohar Das**

*Dept. of PG studies and Research Centre in Zoology,  
Scott Christian College (Autonomous),  
Nagercoil – 629 003,  
Kanyakumari District, India*

**Dr. Leonardo Gomes**

*UNESP  
Av. 24A, n 1515, Depto de Biologia, IB, Zip Code:  
13506-900,  
Rio Claro, SP,  
Brazil.*

**Dr. J. Stanley**

*Vivekananda Institute of Hill Agriculture  
Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Almora–  
263601, Uttarakhand,  
India*

**Dr. Ramesh Kumar Jain**

*Indian Council of Agricultural Research,  
Division of Nematology, IARI  
New Delhi-110012  
India*

**Dr. Hasan Celal Akgul**

*Istanbul Plant Quarantine Service, Nematology  
Laboratory  
Halkali Merkez Mahallesi, Halkali Caddesi, No:2,  
34140 Halkali, Kucukcekmece-Istanbul  
Turkey*

**Dr. James E. Cilek**

*Florida A & M University  
4000 Frankford Avenue, Panama City, Florida 32405  
USA*

**Dr. Khan Matiyar Rahaman**

*Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya  
AICRP (Nematode), Directorate of Research,  
BCKV, PO. Kalyani, Dist. Nadia, PIN-741235,  
West Bengal,  
India*

**Manas Sarkar**

*Defence Research Laboratory  
(DRDO, Ministry of Defence, Govt. of India)  
Post Bag No.2, Tezpur-784001, Assam,  
India*

## Editorial Board

### **Mehdi Esfandiari**

*Department of Plant Protection  
College of Agriculture,  
Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz,  
Ahvaz, Iran*

### **Prof. Dr. Mahfouz M. M. Abd-Elgawad**

*Nematology Laboratory  
Department of Phytopathology  
National Research Center El-Tahrir St., Dokki  
12622, Giza,  
Egypt*

### **Matthew S. Lehnert**

*Department of Entomology, Soils, & Plant Sciences  
Clemson University, Clemson,  
United States*

### **Wenjing Pang**

*3318 SE 23rd Avenue  
Gainesville, FL 32641  
Agronomy and Biotechnological College,  
China Agricultural University, Beijing,  
China*

### **Dr. G. Shyam Prasad**

*Directorate of Sorghum Research (DSR),  
Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, AP,  
INDIA*

### **Dr. Rashid Mumtaz**

*Date Palm Research  
Plant Protection Department  
Food & Agricultural Sciences  
King Saud University, Riyadh  
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*

### **Godwin Fuseini**

*International SOS Ghana,  
Newmont Ghana Gold,  
Ahafo mine,  
Ghana.*

### **Dr. Waqas Wakil**

*Department of Agriculture Entomology,  
University of Agriculture, Faisalabad,  
Pakistan*

### **Gilberto Santos Andrade**

*Universidade Federal de Viçosa  
Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs, s/n Campus Universitário  
36570-000  
Viçosa - MG - Brazil*

### **Ricardo Botero Trujillo**

*Calle 117 D # 58-50 apto. 515  
Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá,  
Colombia*

### **Dr. D. N. Kambrekar**

*Regional Agricultural Research Station,  
UAS Campus, PB. No. 18,  
Bijapur-586 101 Karnataka-INDIA  
India*

### **Dr. P. Pretheep Kumar**

*Department of Forest Biology  
Forest College & Research Institute  
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University  
Mettupalayam – 641 301  
Tamil Nadu, India*

### **Dr. Raman Chandrasekar**

*College of Agriculture Entomology  
S-225, Agriculture Science Center  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, KY 40546-0091  
USA.*

### **Dr. Rajesh Kumar**

*Central Muga Eri Research and Training Institute  
Lahdoigarh, Jorhat-785700, Assam,  
India*

**Prof. Ding Yang**

*Department of Entomology,  
China Agricultural University,  
2 Yuanmingyuan West Road, Haidian,  
Beijing 100193, China*

**Dr. Harsimran Gill**

*University of Florida  
970 Natural Area Drive, PO Box 110620,  
Gainesville, Florida- 32611*

**Dr. Mehdi Gheibi**

*Department of Plant Protection,  
College of Agriculture, Shiraz Islamic  
Azad University, Shiraz, Iran*

**Dr. Nidhi Kakkar**

*University College, Kurukshetra University,  
Kurukshetra, Haryana, India*

**Dr. Marianna I. Zhukovskaya**

*Sechenov Institute of Evolutionary Physiology  
and Biochemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences  
44 Thorez Ave, 194223,  
Saint-Petersburg, Russia*

**Gaurav Goyal**

*University of Florida  
282#14 Corry village,  
Gainesville, FL 32603, USA*

**Gilberto Santos Andrade**

*Universidade Federal de Viçosa  
Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs,  
s/n Campus Universitario  
36570-000 Vicosa - MG -  
Brazil*

**Joshi Yadav Prasad**

*Gyanashwor Kathmandu, Nepal  
G P O Box: 8975 EPC:  
5519, Kathmandu, Nepal  
India*

**Baoli Qiu**

*Department of Entomology,  
South China Agricultural University  
No 483, Wushan Road, Tianhe, Guangzhou,  
PR China 510640*

**T. Ramasubramanian**

*Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibres  
(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)  
Barrackpore, Kolkata – 700 120,  
India*

**Leonardo Gomes**

*UNESP Av. 24A, n 1515, Depto de Biologia,  
IB, Zip Code: 13506-900, Rio Claro,  
SP, Brazil.*

**Hasan Celal Akgul**

*Istanbul Plant Quarantine Service,  
Nematology Laboratory  
Halkali Merkez Mahallesi,  
Halkali Caddesi, No:2, 34140 Halkali,  
Kucukcekmece-Istanbul/Turkey*

**J. Stanley**

*Vivekananda Institute of Hill Agriculture  
Indian Council of Agricultural Research,  
Almora– 263601, Uttarakhand, India*

**Atef Sayed Abdel-Razek**

*National Research Centre,  
Dept. of Plant Protection  
El-Tahrir Street, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt*

# Instructions for Author

**Electronic submission** of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

## Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

**Regular articles:** These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

**Short Communications:** A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

**Reviews:** Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

## Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the AJFS to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

## Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

**The Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

**The Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

**The Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

**Materials and methods** should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.



**Results** should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

**The Discussion** should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

**The Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

**Tables** should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

**Figure legends** should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

**References:** In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001) References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7: 3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in community-acquired skin infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing *Escherichia coli* in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). *Microbiology: Concepts and Applications.* McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

### Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.

**Fees and Charges:** Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the Journal of Entomology and Nematology is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances

**Copyright: © 2015, Academic Journals.**

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

**Disclaimer of Warranties**

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the JEN, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.



# Journal of Entomology and Nematology

Table of Contents: Volume 7 Number 6, December, 2015

## ARTICLES

**Effect of *Cymbopogon citrates* (Poaceae) oil and citral on post-embryonic time of blowflies**

Zeneida Teixeira Pinto, Félix Fernández-Sánchez, Arith Ramos Santos, Ana Claudia Fernandes Amaral, JoséLuiz Pinto Ferreira, Julio Cesar Escalona-Arranz and Margareth Mariade Carvalho Queiroz

## Full Length Research Paper

## Effect of *Cymbopogon citrates* (Poaceae) oil and citral on post-embryonic time of blowflies

Zeneida Teixeira Pinto<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Félix Fernández-Sánchez<sup>3</sup>, Arith Ramos Santos<sup>4</sup>, Ana Claudia Fernandes Amaral<sup>4</sup>, JoséLuiz Pinto Ferreira<sup>4</sup>, Julio Cesar Escalona-Arranz<sup>5</sup> and Margareth Mariade Carvalho Queiroz<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratório de Educação em Ambiental e Saúde, Pavilhão Lauro Travassos, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Av. Brazil 4365, 20045-900 Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Doctoral Program in Veterinary Sciences, Instituto de Veterinária, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (UFRRJ), Itaguaí, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoriode Aseguramiento de la Calidad, Moa, Holguín, Cuba.

<sup>4</sup>Laboratório de Plantas Medicinais e Derivados, Farmanguinhos, FIOCRUZ, Rua Sizenando Nabuco, 100, 21041-250 Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

<sup>5</sup>Departamento de Farmacia, Universidad de Oriente, Santiago de Cuba, Cuba.

<sup>6</sup>Laboratório de Entomologia Médica e Forense (LEMEF), Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fiocruz, Avenida Brazil, 4365, 20-045-900 Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

Received 5 August, 2015; Accepted 23 September, 2015

*Cymbopogon citratus* oil is used in folk medicine as repellent and insecticide against insects. This study evaluated the insecticidal activity of *C. citrates* oil extracted from Brazil and Cuba and its main component citral as insecticides against *Chrysomya megacephala*, *Chrysomya putoria* and *Lucilia cuprina*. Variables monitored were: duration of post embryonic development, larval weight (mg), sex ratio, mortality index and percentage of morphological deformities. The essential oils were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and tested at concentrations of 5, 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100%, and citral was diluted in DMSO yielding a concentration of 17.5 µg/µL. Substances were applied (1µL on the newly-hatched larvae. Results showed that both oils and citral had toxic effects on post-embryonic development of all tested blowflies. While the mortality for *C. megacephala* reaches 80% of the flies treated with essential oil, and values under 50% for the LC<sub>50</sub>; *C. putoria* has the highest ratio of deformities, once it becomes adult with values over 85%. The behavior for *C. citrates* essential oils and citral, changes from one variable to another, but in general sense, all are toxic to flies. Previous observations point out this essential oil as a potential alternative in those blowflies control.

**Key words:** Arthropod, Calliphoridae, biopesticides, lemongrass, essential oil.

### INTRODUCTION

The blowflies are vectors of a great number of pathogens with medical and/or veterinary importance (Greenberg, 1973; Barriga, 2002; Maldonado and Centeno, 2003). Their larvae also produce myiasis (Baumgartner, 1988;

Guimarães and Papavero, 1999; Jiang, 2002; Sehgal et al., 2002).

Blowfly control largely relies on chemical insecticides. However, flies can develop resistance to those synthetic

Chemical substances (Shono and Scott, 2003; Levot and Sales, 2004). Chemical insecticides can affect men and others animals resulting in air and water pollution (Mendonça et al., 2011; Carriço et al., 2014), that is why natural insecticides emerge as a potential way of fly control. In this context, essential oils can be an eco-friendly alternative form to prevent and control blowfly species.

*Cymbopogon citratus* (DC) Stapf (Poaceae) (Lemongrass) is a native plant of India and Sri Lanka (Zheng et al., 1993) distributed over several tropical countries, including Brazil and Cuba. It is internationally known as lemongrass. Some studies revealed its insecticidal properties against several agricultural and non-agricultural pests (Ishii et al., 2010; Andrade et al., 2013) as well as other pathogenic agents (Oliveira et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2011a,b). Previous phytochemical studies demonstrated that these properties can be attributed to some compounds identified in the essential oil; mainly citral, an isomeric mixture of neral and geranial (Khanikor and Bora, 2011; Costa et al., 2013; Kumar et al., 2013).

With the insects, the activity of *C. citratus* oil was demonstrated against *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus, 1762) larvae employing the dipping method (Cavalcanti et al., 2004). The oil was also toxic to the third instar of *Thyrinteina arnobia* (Stoll, 1782) (Lepidoptera: Geometridae) causing 100% mortality (Soares et al., 2011).

The bioinsecticidal activity of lemongrass oil against flies has also been tested before in houseflies' larvae and pupae. Kumar and collaborators (2011, 2013) performed the contact toxicity assay (in a Petri dish and filter paper) showing a lethal concentration ( $LC_{50}$ ) value of  $0.41 \mu\text{L}/\text{cm}^2$ . Recently, our research group reported significant alterations in post-embryonic development of *Musca domestica* (Linnaeus), demonstrating its potential insecticidal activity (Pinto et al., 2015). Nevertheless, studies in which another flies' species are considered have not been developed as of today, with this in the focus of the study. To achieve it, essential oils originating from plantations that grow in geographic different conditions and three biological models (*Chrysomya megacephala*, *Chrysomya putoria* and *Luciliacuprina*) were considered.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Essential oils

The Brazilian lemongrass was collected at the Laboratory of Cultivation and Biomass Production of Farmaquinhos/Fiocruz-Jacarepaguá campus, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ( $22^{\circ}87'49''\text{S}$ ,

$43^{\circ}24'53''\text{W}$ ). A voucher specimen with the number RB3273021 was deposited at Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden Herbarium (RB). The Cuban specimen was collected in the district of Miraflores, municipality of Moa, Holguín, Cuba ( $20^{\circ}38'21''\text{N}$ - $75^{\circ}01'44''\text{W}$ ). A voucher specimen identified with the number 16443 was deposited at BSC Herbarium. Fresh leaves of *C. citratus* were extracted by hydrodistillation using a "Clevenger type apparatus", bottled in amber flasks well wrapped and preserved at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  until accomplishing the analysis. Monoterpenecitral was purchased from Tedia®, Brazil. Oil extraction (Brazil/Cuba) followed previous methodology described in literature (Pinto et al., 2015).

### Colonies of Diptera

Specimens were collected on campus of Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, and were reared and maintained in Laboratório de Entomologia Médica e Forense, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, FIOCRUZ, following previous methodology described in literature (Queiroz and Milward-de-Azevedo, 1991). Flies were kept in cages, maintained in acclimatized chambers set at  $27 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $70 \pm 10\%$  RH, 12:12 light/dark cycle, with water and sugar *ad libitum*. Decaying bovine ground beef was given for the maturation of the ovarioles and to stimulate oviposition. The second generation was reared following the same methodology and newly hatched larvae were used in the experiments.

### Bioassay

For the preparation of the substances, the essential oils of *C. citratus* from Brazil and Cuba were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-SIGMA, EUA) and tested in concentrations of 5% (25  $\mu\text{L}$  oil + 475  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO); 10% (50  $\mu\text{L}$  oil + 450  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO); 25% (125  $\mu\text{L}$  oil + 375  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO); 50% (250  $\mu\text{L}$  oil + 250  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO); 75% (375  $\mu\text{L}$  oil + 125  $\mu\text{L}$  DMSO) and 100% (pure oil) to obtain the six different test concentration levels. The monoterpenecitral was diluted in DMSO yielding a concentration of  $17.5 \mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$ .

The substances (essential oil of Brazil/Cuba and citral) were applied (1  $\mu\text{L}$ ) onto the larval bodies of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. cuprina* using micropipettes. Concentration oils (Brazil and Cuba) were applied by quadruplicating on fifty newly hatched larvae for each replicate. Citral was used in groups of thirty specimens in the three bioassays. The control groups consisted in: untreated insects, and insects that were treated only with solvent (DMSO). After treatment, larvae were placed on putrefied bovine meat (50 mg). After reaching maturity (L3), the larvae spontaneously abandoned the meat and were moved to recipients with vermiculite placed below the rearing containers. They were collected, individualized, weighed and then transferred to glass tested tubes containing vermiculite and sealed with cotton plugs, monitoring the duration of each phase. After emergence, *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. cuprina* adults were separated by gender. Also, an exhaustive analysis (visual and with the stereoscope) with a view to identify the principal somatic deformities present in the biological models, was realized. The observations were made daily. Concentrations were selected on the basis of preliminary experiments conducted in the laboratory.

### Statistics analysis

The results were analyzed by one way analysis of variance

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: zeneida@ioc.fiocruz.br. Tel: (55) 21 2562 1061.

**Table 1.** Duration (days) of post embryonic development of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. prina* (Diptera:Calliphoridae), treated with essential oil of *C. citratus*(DC) Stapf from Brazil and Cuba.

Species	Treatments	Larval stage (days)		Pupal stage (days)		Newly-hatched larvae to adult (days)	
		Brazil X ± DP <sup>#</sup>	Cuba X ± DP <sup>#</sup>	Brazil X ± DP <sup>#</sup>	Cuba X ± DP <sup>#</sup>	Brazil X ± DP <sup>#</sup>	Cuba X ± DP <sup>#</sup>
<i>C. megacephala</i>	C	4.42 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	4.42 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	5.23 ± 0.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.23 ± 0.42 <sup>a</sup>	9.63 ± 0.60 <sup>a,b</sup>	9.63 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>
	DMSO	4.38 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	4.38 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	5.18 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	5.18 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	9.54 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup>	9.54 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup>
	5 (%)	4.79 ± 0.41 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.83 ± 0.38 <sup>a</sup>	6.02 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup>	6.06 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	10.79 ± 0.40 <sup>b,c</sup>	10.87 ± 0.48 <sup>b,c</sup>
	10	4.66 ± 0.77 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.68 ± 0.77 <sup>a</sup>	6.03 ± 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	6.05 ± 0.36 <sup>b</sup>	10.66 ± 0.87 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	10.68 ± 0.87 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
	25	4.76 ± 0.56 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.79 ± 0.55 <sup>a</sup>	6.18 ± 0.58 <sup>b</sup>	6.23 ± 0.63 <sup>b</sup>	10.90 ± 0.88 <sup>c</sup>	10.99 ± 0.84 <sup>b,c</sup>
	50	5.57 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	4.64 ± 0.48 <sup>a</sup>	5.74 ± 0.76 <sup>a,b</sup>	5.82 ± 0.76 <sup>a,b</sup>	9.60 ± 0.76 <sup>a,b</sup>	9.78 ± 0.84 <sup>a,b</sup>
	75	4.67 ± 0.26 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.77 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	5.72 ± 0.35 <sup>a,b</sup>	5.78 ± 0.34 <sup>a,b</sup>	9.77 ± 0.37 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	9.88 ± 0.38 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
	100	4.68 ± 0.81 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.72 ± 0.78 <sup>a</sup>	6.07 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>	6.13 ± 0.34 <sup>b</sup>	10.62 ± 0.86 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	10.64 ± 0.72 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
<i>C. putoria</i>	C	3.30 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	3.30 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	4.33 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>	4.33 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>	7.55 ± 0.76 <sup>a</sup>	7.55 ± 0.76 <sup>a</sup>
	DMSO	3.26 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	3.26 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.30 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	4.30 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	7.51 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup>	7.51 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup>
	5 (%)	2.42 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	2.47 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	4.64 ± 0.48 <sup>a</sup>	4.65 ± 0.48 <sup>a</sup>	7.06 ± 0.23 <sup>a</sup>	7.10 ± 0.31 <sup>a</sup>
	10	2.60 ± 0.49 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.61 ± 0.49 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.49 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	4.52 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.03 ± 0.76 <sup>a</sup>	7.08 ± 0.70 <sup>a</sup>
	25	2.99 ± 0.23 <sup>a,b</sup>	3.02 ± 0.15 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.21 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>	4.24 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	7.20 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	7.26 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>
	50	2.87 ± 0.67 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.88 ± 0.40 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.49 ± 0.64 <sup>a</sup>	4.53 ± 0.65 <sup>a</sup>	7.37 ± 0.86 <sup>a</sup>	7.39 ± 0.76 <sup>a</sup>
	75	2.54 ± 0.50 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.53 ± 0.50 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.85 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>	4.87 ± 0.33 <sup>a</sup>	7.40 ± 0.62 <sup>a</sup>	7.40 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup>
	100	2.96 ± 0.53 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.97 ± 0.58 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.45 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	4.48 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	7.37 ± 0.79 <sup>a</sup>	7.40 ± 0.80 <sup>a</sup>
<i>L. prina</i>	C	3.24 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	3.24 ± 0.43 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.72 ± 0.58 <sup>a</sup>	4.72 ± 0.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.96 ± 0.67 <sup>a</sup>	7.96 ± 0.67 <sup>a</sup>
	DMSO	3.17 ± 0.38 <sup>a</sup>	3.17 ± 0.38 <sup>a</sup>	4.67 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>	4.67 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>	7.81 ± 0.51 <sup>a</sup>	7.81 ± 0.51 <sup>a</sup>
	5 (%)	4.22 ± 0.41 <sup>b</sup>	4.25 ± 0.44 <sup>c</sup>	8.60 ± 0.78 <sup>b</sup>	8.69 ± 0.85 <sup>b,c</sup>	12.84 ± 1.01 <sup>b</sup>	12.86 ± 1.50 <sup>b</sup>
	10	4.05 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	4.03 ± 0.25 <sup>b,c</sup>	10.05 ± 0.86 <sup>c</sup>	10.11 ± 0.84 <sup>c</sup>	14.11 ± 0.85 <sup>b</sup>	14.20 ± 0.90 <sup>b</sup>
	25	4.07 ± 0.30 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 ± 0.39 <sup>c</sup>	9.75 ± 0.99 <sup>b,c</sup>	9.90 ± 0.88 <sup>c</sup>	13.86 ± 1.02 <sup>b</sup>	14.11 ± 1.01 <sup>b</sup>
	50	4.11 ± 0.41 <sup>b</sup>	4.14 ± 0.46 <sup>c</sup>	9.74 ± 0.92 <sup>b,c</sup>	9.79 ± 0.90 <sup>b,c</sup>	13.88 ± 1.11 <sup>b</sup>	13.97 ± 1.13 <sup>b</sup>
	75	4.11 ± 0.63 <sup>b</sup>	4.18 ± 0.63 <sup>c</sup>	8.42 ± 0.88 <sup>b</sup>	8.42 ± 0.93 <sup>b</sup>	13.57 ± 1.10 <sup>b</sup>	13.59 ± 1.16 <sup>b</sup>
	100	4.17 ± 0.53 <sup>b</sup>	4.21 ± 0.58 <sup>c</sup>	9.78 ± 0.80 <sup>b,c</sup>	9.67 ± 0.93 <sup>b,c</sup>	14.10 ± 1.15 <sup>b</sup>	14.03 ± 1.20 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>#</sup>Values within a column followed by the same letter is not significantly difference at the 5% level according to Tukey's LSD. DMSO = dimetilsulfoxide, C = control.

(ANOVA) ( $P < 0.0001$ ). The linear regression for the LC<sub>50</sub> and the mean values were compared by the Tukey-Kramer (LSD) test at the 0.05 (%) significance level (Zar, 1999) computed with Stat graphics Plus v5.1 (Statistical Graphics Corporation) software. Sex ratio was calculated using the following formula: (nFemale/nFemale + nMale) (Rodrigues, 2004).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*C. citratus* essential oil from Brazil and Cuba obtained with the Clevenger apparatus yielded 0.25 and 0.28% (v/w, volume/dry-weight), respectively. According to Cuéllar et al. (2009),

same species of plants contain different quantities of yield of essential oil, but could be more or less similar. As Table 1 summarizes, blowflies treated with *C. citratus* essential oil (Brazil/Cuba) show statistical differences regarding the control groups. The main susceptibility was observed in

**Table 2.** Duration (days) of post embryonic development of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. prina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae), treated with monoterpenecitral under laboratory conditions.

Species	Treatments	Larval stage (days)	Pupal stage (days)	Newly-hatched larvae to adult (days)
		X ± DP#	X ± DP#	X ± DP#
<i>C. megacephala</i>	C	3.96 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.23 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	9.23 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>
	DMSO	3.96 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>	9.20 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>
	citral	3.12 ± 0.35 <sup>b</sup>	5.57 ± 0.53 <sup>a</sup>	8.57 ± 0.53 <sup>a</sup>
<i>C. putoria</i>	C	3.04 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.26 ± 0.45 <sup>a,b</sup>	7.31 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>
	DMSO	3.17 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	4.08 ± 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	7.16 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>
	citral	3.22 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 ± 0.52 <sup>b</sup>	8.08 ± 0.64 <sup>a</sup>
<i>L. prina</i>	C	3.11 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.73 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.80 ± 0.40 <sup>a</sup>
	DMSO	3.15 ± 0.36 <sup>a</sup>	4.71 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	7.76 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>
	citral	3.07 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	4.45 ± 0.52 <sup>a</sup>	7.62 ± 0.51 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Values within a column followed by the same letter is not significantly difference at the 5% level according to Tukey's LSD. DMSO = dimetilsufoxide, C = control.

*L. cuprina* with notorious increments in the periods of times of the three phases, but especially at pupal and newly-hatched larvae stages. In *C. megacephala*, also all stages (larval, pupal and newly-hatched larvae) suffer a significant increment in the mean time when compared with the control groups (with/without DMSO), being more evident in newly-hatched larvae stage, but those increments are lower than that observed for *L. cuprina*. In the case of *C. putoria*, this species shows only statistical differences at larval stage becoming the most resistant fly to *C. citratus* essential oil, at least when the stages' duration times are considered. No great difference was seen when considering both essential oils, neither was it possible to observe a regular tendency behavior through the concentrations used. Other plant extracts have exhibited the same property to prolong the flies' stages duration on these biological models (Mendonça et al., 2011).

As was declared before, the monoterpenecitral is the main constituent of the lemongrass essential

oil, and its influence over the flies is summarized in Table 2. As can be appreciated in this table, the levels of susceptibility among flies' species proved to be different. The effect of this compound is only statistically different regarding the control groups for *C. megacephala* at larval stage. The rest of the stages and biological models remain with the same statistical behavior than the control groups, being classified as inactive at the evaluated concentration.

Larval weight (mg) and sex ratio were also studied, and these data are showed in Table 3. The larval weight of *C. megacephala* was significantly affected in the experimental groups. This characteristic is very important due to the fact that less weighed larvae could mean a weakness to reach the adult stage, therefore vulnerability to the environment influence and to its natural predators. Nevertheless, the values observed are far from the 30.1 mg fixed as the minimum weight for *C. megacephala* to become pupa (Von Zuben, 1998). On the other two flies, this behavior was

non-regular; hence no clear information was extracted. Regarding the sex ratio, no significant differences between the experimental groups was observed.

Table 4 shows larval weight (mg) and sex ratio variables obtained when flies were treated with citral. In this case, only in *C. putoria* was statistical differences observed. The increment of the larval weight should be interpreted as an element that favors the development of the fly, but other elements are necessary to support this hypothesis. In any case, this is additional evidence that not necessarily the behavior of a plant extract has to be in line with those exhibited by its main compound.

In spite of the previous parameters informed before, the most important characteristic of a bio-pesticide is the mortality index all over the flies' cycle of live. Figures 1 to 3 shows the mortality of larval, pupal and newly-hatched larvae for *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. cuprina*, respectively, after the exposure to different

**Table 3.** Larval weight (mg) and sex ratio of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. cuprina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) treated with different concentrations of *C. citratus* (DC) Stapf. from Brazil and Cuba, under laboratory conditions.

Species	Treatments	Brazil		Cuba		Brazil	Cuba
		(Mean ± SD) <sup>#</sup>	Variation Interval	(Mean ± SD) <sup>#</sup>	Variation Interval	Sex ratio	Sex ratio
<i>C. megacephala</i>	Control	74.51 ± 4.11 <sup>a</sup>	69.20 - 85.60	74.51 ± 4.11 <sup>a</sup>	69.20 - 85.60	0.51	0.51
	DMSO	74.27 ± 4.05 <sup>a</sup>	68.00 - 84.00	74.27 ± 4.05 <sup>a</sup>	68.00 - 84.00	0.53	0.53
	5%	57.57 ± 2.63 <sup>b,c</sup>	50.00 - 62.10	57.35 ± 2.80 <sup>b</sup>	51.50 - 62.50	0.50	0.52
	10%	60.08 ± 5.86 <sup>b,c</sup>	52.00 - 60.90	59.84 ± 5.91 <sup>b</sup>	52.00 - 70.60	0.47	0.52
	25%	50.28 ± 5.28 <sup>c</sup>	43.30 - 69.50	55.87 ± 5.86 <sup>b</sup>	42.70 - 68.50	0.48	0.47
	50%	59.93 ± 3.53 <sup>b,c</sup>	53.30 - 96.00	59.45 ± 3.45 <sup>b</sup>	53.30 - 96.00	0.40	0.46
	75%	61.71 ± 8.93 <sup>b</sup>	51.70 - 81.80	52.52 ± 9.55 <sup>b</sup>	52.70 - 82.70	0.47	0.53
	100%	56.62 ± 9.02 <sup>b,c</sup>	33.00 - 67.04	56.88 ± 9.16 <sup>b</sup>	31.00 - 67.10	0.46	0.52
<i>C. putoria</i>	Control	40.15 ± 6.74 <sup>a</sup>	30.00 - 65.00	40.15 ± 6.74 <sup>a</sup>	30.00 - 65.00	0.50	0.50
	DMSO	45.29 ± 4.40 <sup>a,b</sup>	30.70 - 49.90	45.29 ± 4.40 <sup>a,b</sup>	30.70 - 49.90	0.49	0.49
	5%	47.37 ± 3.60 <sup>a,b</sup>	42.25 - 55.50	48.28 ± 2.31 <sup>a,b</sup>	45.25 - 55.50	0.57	0.51
	10%	53.00 ± 5.14 <sup>b</sup>	37.40 - 65.40	52.28 ± 5.43 <sup>b</sup>	38.40 - 66.40	0.51	0.49
	25%	46.10 ± 6.90 <sup>a,b</sup>	37.80 - 72.90	45.45 ± 6.28 <sup>a,b</sup>	35.80 - 73.80	0.52	0.50
	50%	46.13 ± 2.12 <sup>a,b</sup>	41.80 - 48.80	45.59 ± 2.13 <sup>a,b</sup>	42.50 - 49.80	0.48	0.52
	75%	47.62 ± 5.02 <sup>a,b</sup>	42.40 - 68.10	48.72 ± 4.89 <sup>a,b</sup>	43.40 - 66.10	0.44	0.46
	100%	50.40 ± 5.65 <sup>b</sup>	40.60 - 66.20	51.21 ± 5.42 <sup>b</sup>	41.60 - 67.20	0.50	0.53
<i>L. cuprina</i>	Control	33.19 ± 3.41 <sup>b</sup>	26.87 - 37.37	33.19 ± 3.41 <sup>b,c</sup>	26.87 - 37.37	0.52	0.52
	DMSO	32.45 ± 2.47 <sup>a,b</sup>	28.20 - 36.83	32.45 ± 2.47 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	28.20 - 36.83	0.52	0.52
	5%	35.31 ± 3.74 <sup>b</sup>	28.00 - 38.60	34.79 ± 3.87 <sup>c</sup>	27.30 - 38.40	0.53	0.49
	10%	26.85 ± 2.94 <sup>a</sup>	21.60 - 32.40	27.49 ± 3.09 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	21.60 - 33.40	0.49	0.52
	25%	31.91 ± 4.61 <sup>a,b</sup>	11.00 - 36.60	32.21 ± 4.79 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	13.00 - 37.20	0.48	0.52
	50%	27.26 ± 2.84 <sup>a</sup>	24.20 - 32.40	26.72 ± 2.67 <sup>a</sup>	23.20 - 31.40	0.48	0.52
	75%	32.53 ± 3.16 <sup>a,b</sup>	19.00 - 39.00	33.44 ± 3.19 <sup>b,c</sup>	21.00 - 39.00	0.49	0.51
	100%	30.96 ± 3.12 <sup>a,b</sup>	26.25 - 35.20	31.88 ± 3.51 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	26.25 - 36.20	0.49	0.51

#Values within a column followed by the same letter is not significantly difference at the 5% level according to Tukey's LSD. DMSO = dimetilsulfoxide, C = control.

concentrations of *C. citratus* essential oil from Brazil and Cuba, under laboratory conditions. As it can be seen in Figure 1, independently of the concentration level the newly-hatched larvae stage results to be the most susceptible to the lemongrass essential oil action, being in

coincidence with the most affected stage regarding the necessary time for their development. At concentration of 100%, the mortality index reaches 80%, higher than the 61 and 28% reached for the larval and pupal stages, respectively. Mortality of *C. megacephala* in larval,


pupal and newly hatched larvae to adult periods was concentration dependent for oils (Brazil/Cuba), being estimated as the LC<sub>50</sub> of Brazil and Cuba oil in 47.89 and 46.18%, respectively. The adjustment of the points to the equations render determination coefficients superior to 0.87 (R<sup>2</sup>>0).




**Table 4.** Larval weight (mg) and sex ratio of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. cuprina* (Diptera:Calliphoridae) treated with monoterpenecitral, under laboratory conditions.

Species	Treatments	X ± DP#	Sex Ratio
<i>C. megacephala</i>	C	75.75 ± 4.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.46
	DMSO	75.78 ± 4.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.55
	Citral	69.49 ± 10.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.51
<i>C. putoria</i>	C	40.83 ± 0.80 <sup>a</sup>	0.54
	DMSO	45.94 ± 2.51 <sup>b</sup>	0.48
	Citral	59.33 ± 2.58 <sup>c</sup>	0.54
<i>L. cuprina</i>	C	41.93 ± 2.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.42
	DMSO	41.96 ± 2.59 <sup>a</sup>	0.44
	Citral	38.81 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.50

#Values within a column followed by the same letter is not significantly difference at the 5% level according to Tukey's LSD. DMSO = dimetilsulfoxide, C = control.



**Figure 1.** Mortality of larval, pupal and newly-hatched larvae to adult stage of *C. megacephala* after exposure to different concentrations of *C. citratus* oil from Brazil and Cuba, under laboratory conditions.




**Figure 2.** Mortality of larval, pupal and newly-hatched larvae to adult stage of *C. putoria* after exposure to different concentrations of *C. citratus* oil from Brazil and Cuba, under laboratory conditions.

87) being considered statically as good. Related to *C. putoria*, it can be noted (Figure 2) that even for the 100% of concentration, the mortality index does not get to surpass the 60%. This fly was already signed as the most resistant to the *C. citratus* essential oil in the previous variables discussed; and this is also reflected in the mortality index. In this biological model, the order of stages' susceptibilities is the same one, that is, *C. megacephala* being the most affected newly-hatched larvae, larval and finally pupal stage, respectively. This behavior is in coincidence with that observed in *C. megacephala*. Mortality of *C. putoria* in larval, pupal and newly hatched larvae to adult periods was concentration dependent for oils (Brazil/Cuba), being estimated as the  $LC_{50}$  of Brazil and Cuba oil in 85.48 and 78.19%, respectively. The equations computed to determine those

values exhibit determination coefficients superior to 0.9 ( $R^2 > 0.9$ ).

For the last biological model (*L. cuprina*), the mortality index reaches almost 80% at the maximum concentration used, but at the minimum level (5% essential oil), it already achieves the 60% of the flies' deceases (Figure 3). This fact again indicates *L. cuprina* as the most sensible fly to the *C. citratus* essential oil insecticidal effect. It was impossible to compute the  $LC_{50}$  for this fly considering that we did not find a dependent relation between concentration and mortality.

When citral is used, the same susceptibility tendency (Figure 1 to 3) is observed (Figure 4), being once again *C. putoria* the most resistant fly. Larval and newly hatched larvae to adult periods of flies appear to be highly sensitive to citral effect. In spite of this similarity in




**Figure 3.** Mortality of larval, pupal and newly-hatched larvae to adult stage of *Luciliacuprina* after exposure to different concentrations of *Cymbopogon citratus* oil from Brazil and Cuba, under laboratory conditions.

the behavior between citral and the essential oil of *C. citratus* from Cuba/Brazil, the values of mortality index for citral are lower than those computed by the action of lemongrass essential oil, demonstrating over again that the activity of the oil does not necessary have to be conditioned by its main constituent.

This research shows that essential oil of *C. citratus* (Brazil/Cuba) and the monoterpene citral led to disruption of development of the treated blowflies inducing different morphological abnormalities in adults (Tables 5 and 6). Malformations include defective wings, deformed abdomen and small-sized flies. The higher ratio of deformities was found in adults of *C. putoria*. This evidence reveals that even when this species was the less susceptible at the previous parameters evaluated, a

high number (more than 85%) of the flies that reached adult stage did it have any malformation giving them less probability to reach the maturity age with capacities to reproduce. Previous results suggest that essential oils are capable to produce such effects. The oils of *Mentha piperita* and *Lavandula angustifolia* induce deformities in larvae and pupae of *M. domestica* (Bolsy, 2013). According to this author, deformities may have been caused by the oil that has the ability to inhibit metamorphosis, suggesting that the effect is similar to the insects treated with growth regulators (IGRs).

The present study revealed that topical treatment with essential oil of *C. citratus* (Brazil/Cuba) and monoterpene citral induces alterations at different levels in *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. cuprina* cycle's life,



**Figure 4.** Mortality of larval, pupal and newly-hatched larvae to adult stage of (A) *C. megacephala*, (B) *C. putoria* and (C) *L. prina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) after exposure to monoterpenecitral, under laboratory conditions.

emerging as a potential alternative in the control of these blowflies.

#### Conflict of interests

The author(s) did not declare any conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Instituto Oswaldo Cruz (IOC/FIOCRUZ).

**Table 5.** Percentage (%) of morphological deformities in adults of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. prina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) treated with essential oil of *C. citratus* (DC) Stapf. from Brazil and Cuba, under laboratory conditions.

Species	Treatments	Morphological deformities	
		Brazil	Cuba
<i>C. megacephala</i>	C	0.00	0.00
	DMSO	0.00	0.00
	5 (%)	12.80	12.69
	10	15.83	15.70
	25	4.54	4.91
	50	4.81	4.11
	75	3.41	3.24
	100	10.42	10.28
<i>C. aputoria</i>	C	0.00	0.00
	DMSO	0.00	0.00
	5 (%)	30.09	35.16
	10	32.57	32.31
	25	46.15	48.82
	50	74.80	72.88
	75	60.75	62.50
	100	86.36	85.88
<i>L. prina</i>	C	0.00	0.00
	DMSO	0.00	0.00
	5 (%)	29.27	30.00
	10	26.83	27.16
	25	21.43	21.95
	50	16.30	16.67
	75	12.00	12.24
	100	43.33	44.83

Oils teste with four replication, N=50. DMSO = dimetilsulfoxide, C = control.

**Table 6.** Percentage (%) of morphological deformities in adults of *C. megacephala*, *C. putoria* and *L. prina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) treated with monoterpenecitral, under laboratory conditions.

Species	Treatments	Morphological deformities (%)
<i>C. megacephala</i>	C	0,00
	DMSO	0.00
	Citral	28.57
<i>C. putoria</i>	C	0.00
	DMSO	0.00
	Citral	84.61
<i>L. cuprina</i>	C	0.00
	DMSO	0.00
	Citral	37.50

Oils tested with three replication N = 10. DMSO = dimetilsulfoxide, C = control.

## REFERENCES

- Andrade LH, Oliveira JV, Lima IMM, Santana MF, Breda MO (2013). Efeito repelente de azadiractina e óleos essenciais sobre *Aphis gossypii*, Glover (Hemiptera:Ahididae) em Algodoeiro. Rev. Ciênc. Agron. 44(3):628-634.
- Barriga OO(2002).Las enfermedades parasitarias de los animales domésticos en la America Latina.Geminal (Ed.), Santiago do Chile,

- 876p.
- Baumgartner DL(1988). Spread of introduced *Chrysomya* blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) in the neotropics with records new to Venezuela. *Biotropica* 20:167-168.
- Bolsy AH (2013). Evaluation of insecticidal activities of *Menthapiperita* and *Lavandula angustifolia* essential oils against house fly, *Muscadomestica* L. (Diptera:Muscidae). *J. Entomol. Nematol.* 5(4):50-54.
- Carriço CS, Pinto ZT, Dutok CMS, Caetano RL, Pessanha RR, Chil-Nunez I, Mendonça PM, Escalona-Arranz J, Reyes-Tur B, Queiroz MMC (2014). Biological activity of *Pouteria sapota* leaf extract on post-embryonic development of blowfly *Chrysomya putoria* (Wiedemann, 1818) (Calliphoridae). *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.* 24(3):304-308.
- Cavalcanti ESB, De Moraes SM, Ashley ALM, William PSE(2004). Larvicidal activity of essential oils from Brazilian plants against *Aedes aegypti* L. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* 99:541-544.
- Costa AV, Pinheiro PF, Rondellim VM, Queiroz VT, Tuler AC, Brito KB, Stinguel P, Pratisoli D (2013). *Cymbopogon citratus* (Poaceae) Essential oil on *Frankliniella laschultzei* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) and *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Biosci. J. Uberlândia* 29(6):1840-1847.
- Cuellar CA, Hussein YR (2009). Evaluation of the yield and the antimicrobial activity of the essential oils from: *Eucalyptus globulus*, *Cymbopogon citratus* and *Rosmarinus officinalis* in Mbarara District (Uganda). *Rev. Colomb.Cienc. Anim.* 1(2):240-249.
- Greenberg B (1973). Flies and disease. V. II: *Biological and disease transmission*. New Jersey, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 447p.
- Guimarães JH, Papavero N (1999). *Myiasis in man and animals in the Neotropical Region. Bibliographic database*. Plêiade (Ed.) - Fapesp, 308p.
- Ishii T, Matsuzawa H, Vairappan CS (2010). Repellent activity of common spices against the Rice weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J. Trop. Biol. Conserv.* 7:75-80.
- Jiang CPA (2002). Collective analysis on 54 cases of human myiasis in China from 1995 - 2001. *Chin. Med. J.* 115:1445 - 1447.
- Khanikar B, Bora D (2011). Toxicity of essential oil compounds against *Exorista sorbillans* (Diptera: Tachinidae), a parasitoid of silkworm. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 10(85):19807-19815.
- Kumar P, Mishra A, Malik A, Satyra S (2011a). Repellent, larvicidal and pupicidal properties of essential oils and their formulations against the housefly, *Musca domestica*. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 25:302-310.
- Kumar P, Mishra A, Satyra S (2011b). Insecticidal properties of *Mentha* species: a review. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 34:802-807.
- Kumar P, Mishra S, Malik A, Satya S (2013). Housefly (*Musca domestica* L.) control potential of *Cymbopogon citratus* Stapf. (Poales: Poaceae) essential oil and monoterpenes (Citral and 1,8-cineole). *Parasitol. Res.* 112:69-76.
- Levoit G, Sales N (2004). Insect growth regulator cross-resistance and studies in Field and laboratory-selected strains of the Australian sheep blowfly, *Lucilia cuprina* (Wiedmann) (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Aust. J. Entomol.* 43:374-377.
- Maldonado MA, Centeno N (2003). Quantifying the potential pathogens transmission of the blowflies (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* 98(2):213-216.
- Mendonça PM, Lima MG, Albuquerque LRM, Carvalho MG, Queiroz MMC (2011). Effects of latex from "Amapazeiro" *Parahancornia amapa* (Apocynaceae) on blowfly *Chrysomya megacephala* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) post-embryonic development. *Vet. Parasitol.* 178:379-382.
- Oliveira VC, Moura DM, Lopes JA, De Andrade PP, Da Silva NH, Figueiredo RC (2009). Effects of essential oils from *Cymbopogon citratus* (DC) Stapf., *Lippiasidoideis* Cham. And *Ocimum gratissimum* L. on growth and ultrastructure of *Leishmania chagasi* promastigotes. *Parasitol. Res.* 104:1053-1059.
- Pinto ZT, Fernández - Sánchez F, Santos AR, Amaral ACF, Ferreira JLP, Escalona - Arranz JC, Queiroz MMC (2015). Chemical composition and insecticidal activity of *Cymbopogon citratus* essential oil from Cuba and Brazil against house. *Braz. J. Vet. Parasitol. Jaboticabal* 24(1):36-44.
- Queiroz MMC, Milward-de-Azevedo EMV (1991). Técnicas de criação e alguns aspectos da biologia de *Chrysomya albiceps* (Wiedemann) (Diptera, Calliphoridae), em condições de laboratório. *Rev. Bras. Zool.* 8:75-84.
- Rodrigues WC (2004). Utilização da informática na entomologia. *Info. Insetos.* 1(2):1-10.
- Sehgal R, Bhatti HP, Bhasin DK, Sood AK, Nada R, Malla N, Singh K (2002). Intestinal myiasis due to *Musca domestica*: a report of two cases. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* 55:191-193.
- Shono T, Scott JG (2003). Spinosad resistance in the housefly, *Muscadomestica*, is due to a recessive factor on autosome 1. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 75:1-7.
- Soares CSA, Silva M, Costa MB, Bezerra CE (2011). Ação inseticida de óleos essenciais sobre a lagarta desfolhadora *Thyrinteina arnobia* (Stoll) (Lepidoptera: Geometridae). *Rev. Verde Agroecologia e Desenvolvimento Sustentável, Mossoró* 6(2):54-157.
- Von Zuben CJ (1998). Comportamento de oviposturas individuais, percentage de eclosão e peso larval mínimo para pupação em populações de *Chrysomya megacephala* (F.). *Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil* 27:255-533.
- Zar JH (1999). *Biostatistical analysis*. 4<sup>th</sup>ed. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. 663 p.
- Zheng G, Kenney PM, LAM LKT (1993). Potential anticarcinogenic natural products isolated from lemongrass oil and galanga root oil. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 41(2):153-156.



# Journal of Entomology and Nematology

## Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Reviews*
- *African Journal of Microbiology Research*
- *African Journal of Biochemistry Research*
- *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*
- *African Journal of Food Science*
- *African Journal of Plant Science*
- *Journal of Bioinformatics and Sequence Analysis*
- *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*

**academicJournals**